PCJC SERVICE SCORING CHART

			SCALE										
	Va	alue	1	2	3	4	5						
	Prot	oability	Very Unlikely	Unlikely	May Happen	Likely	Almost Certain						
	-		Very Low Low		Medium	High	Very High						
			SCALE										
	Va	alue	1	2	3	4	5						
	FINANCIAL EFFECTS		Less Than £1,000	Between £1000 and £5000	Between £5000 and £10000	Between £10000 and £50000	More Than £50,000						
Ires		HEALTH AND SAFETY (PUBLIC AND CUSTOMERS)		Lost time injury	Major injury	Single death	Multiple death						
Impact Measures	NON- FINANCIAL	SERVICE OBJECTIVES & PRIORITIES	No impact on objectives	Minimal impact on 1 or more service objectives	Some restriction on ability to achieve 1 or more service objectives	Severe restriction on ability to achieve 1 or more service objectives	Prevent the achievement of 1 or more service objectives						
lmp	EFFECTS	SERVICE DELIVERY	No inconvenience to service	Minor inconvenience to service	Major inconvenience to customers	Cessation of part of Crem services	Cessation of all Crem services						
		CUSTOMER SATISFACTIO N	Small increase customer complaints	Sig increase customer complaints	General loss of confidence in service	General loss of confidence in partnership	Removal of board members or govt intervention						

Risk score is calculated by multiplying the sum of all impact scores (where Very Low = 1 & Very High = 5) by the score for the probability (where Very Low = 1 and Very High = 5). (e.g. $5^{*}(2+3+4+3+2)$).

The thresholds for the risk category have been set as:

- below 40 (Low)
- 40-59 (medium)
- more than 60 (high)

	PORTCHESTER O			тсомм	TTEE					
	FURICHESTER									
	Str	ategic Risk	Register							
						line in a at			-	
				1	2	Impact 3	4	5	-	
Risk No	Diak	Risk Manager	Drobobility	I Financial	2 H&S	Objectives	4 Service	Customer	Score	Catag
ISK NO		_	-	Financial	ПАЗ	& Priorities	Delivery	Satisfaction		Catego
1	Further reduction in customer numbers	Andy Wannell		4	1	3	1	1	40	MEDI
ontrol	Formal regular monitoring, continued good communication with each LA	A, implement ini	tiatives app	roved by JC	C for dev	eloping and e	enhancing e	xisting and ne	ew servi	ces
		F				1		r		
	Benefits, objectives and targets for Joint Committee are not clear nor									
	monitored nor delivered (including surplus levels not achieved)	John Haskell	1	1	2	3	2	2	10	LOV
Control	Monitor regularly, Annual Report / Development Plan, Member represer	ntatives from ea	ch Local Au	Ithority						
	Control assurance, financial management and governance framework									
3	not clear	Andy Wannell	2	1	2	1	1	1	12	LO\
control	Members from each LA actively involved in managing PCJC, Ext and In	t Audit review/a	dvice feeds	into work a	and Gov	ernance arrar	igement,			
	Treasurer & Dep Treasurer with professional backgrounds advising PC.	JC are employe	es of FBC,	Financial R	egulatio	ns introduced				
4	Inappropriate or inadequate Memorandum of Agreement	John Haskell	2	1	1	3	2	2	18	LO\
Control	Monitor regularly	Į.				4		L.	1	1
	Joint Committee member responsibilities not understood nor complied									
5	with	John Haskell	2	1	1	2	2	2	16	LOV
Control	Member induction following appointment	•								
	Lack of agreed clear exit strategy (including handover of records to									
6	allow continued running)	John Haskell	2	1	1	3	2	2	18	LOV
Control	Monitor regularly	1				1		1		
	5 7									
	National cultural changes significantly affect service required (e.g.									
7	religious, government)	James Clark	1	4	1	1	1	4	11	LOV
Control			les	-						
			900							
	Objective assessments are not made or reported about the true									
8	effectiveness of service delivery and Joint Committee arrangements	John Haskell	1	1	1	3	2	2	9	LOV
			•		•	5	£			0,
20110		2 of olophion								
9	Standard of service provision does not meet customer expectations	James Clark	3	2	1	2	2	4	33	LOV
	Formalisation of Funeral Directors feedback system, satisfaction question				I	<u> </u>	4	<u>г</u>	00	

	PORTCHESTE			COMN	IITTEE	1				APPENDIX D
Operational Risk Register - Assessment										
						Impact				
Risk No	Risk	Risk Manager	Probability F	inancial	H&S	Objectives & Priorities	Service Delivery	Customer Satisfaction	Score	Category
1 Control	Further reduction in income collected Monitor regularly, Good communication with each LA re financial imp	Andy Wannell	4 atives approv	4 ved by J	1 C for de	3 veloping and	1 Lenhancin	1 a existing an	40 d new serv	MEDIUM
2	Adverse external audit opinion on accounting arrangements	Andy Wannell	2	2	1	1	1	1	12	LOW
	High level of expertise advising the PCJC, FBC Internal Audit would identify			2	I	I	I	I	12	LOW
3	Contractor failure to deliver the required service	Terry Garvey /	1	4	1	3	2	2	12	LOW
	Contractors monitored and supervised on a regular basis	James Clark		•		0	-	-		
	Crematorium is not competitive compared with neighbouring									
4 Control	crematoriums Formalisation of Funeral Directors feedback system, satisfaction questionna	James Clark	2 involves Cler	4 k	1	2	3	4	28	LOW
	Crematorium premises and land not at an appropriate level of									
5	presentation (grounds, building)	Ashley Humphrey	3	1	2	2	2	2	27	LOW
Control	Contract management - performance management / feedback / review me	etings								
6	Cremators cease to function (breakdown, served with H&S Executive notice)	James Clark	2	4	2	4	4	4	36	LOW
Control	Cremators serviced and maintained by original manufacturer		· ·					·		
7 Control	Cremation registers lost or inadequate Data backed up daily, new computers to backup to remote servers	James Clark	2	1	1	3	2	1	16	LOW
Control		Torne Convoye								
8	Environmental damage to building and grounds	Terry Garvey / James Clark /	1	3	1	2	2	1	9	LOW
Control	Routine inspections are carried out of environmental protections	Ashley Humphrey								
9	Fire breaks out during a services	James Clark	2	4	3	3	3	3	32	LOW
Control	Fire procedures in place		· · ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
10 Control	Funeral service requested not delivered Checking procedures in place after service details entered	James Clark	3	1	1	3	3	2	30	LOW
11	Inadequate insurance cover or compliance problems	Andy Wannell	2	5	1	3	1	1	22	LOW
	Annual renewal process includes technical input to ensure that increases in			-		-	•	3+1+1 years		LOW
12	Insufficient car parking for attendees	James Clark	3	1	1	1	2	2	21	LOW
Control	Usage monitored, car park extended beyond recommended limits to cover r									
13 Control	Key legislation or code of practice for cremation not complied with Review of publications for changes + monitoring of websites, notifications by	James Clark y Ministry of Justice	1	5	2	4	4	4	19	LOW
14	Loss of online booking system (funeral directors)	James Clark	2	1	1	1	2	1	12	LOW
Control	New system internet based, covered under software agreements									
15 Control	Loss of PC functionality and data stored Data backed up maintenance agreements in place	James Clark	2	1	1	3	2	2	18	LOW
16	Loss of web based information on the crematorium	James Clark	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	LOW
-	information backed up	James Clark	I	1	I	I	1	I	5	LOW
17	Malicious damage to the building and grounds	James Clark	2	2	2	1	2	1	16	LOW
Control	Good working relationship with local Community Support Officers									
18	Medium term loss of energy supplies	Terry Garvey / James Clark	1	2	1	1	2	2	8	LOW
Control	Energy suppliers aware of sensitivity of crematoria, supply sourced via Gov	ernment framework arr	rangement							
19 Control	Member of the public exposed to Health and Safety hazard Ensure hazardous chemicals not used by landscaping contractor	James Clark	2	3	2	1	2	2	20	LOW
Control			1							
20	Member of the public has an accident on crematorium premises during the operational week	James Clark	3	3	3	1	2	1	30	LOW
Control	Trained first aider, H&S daily / weekly / monthly inspection checks									
21	Member of the public has an accident on crematorium premises outside the operational week	James Clark	3	3	3	1	2	1	30	LOW
Control	H&S daily / weekly / monthly inspection check									
22	New, or amendments to, legislation relating to the crematorium not	James Clark	1	3	1	3	5	5	17	LOW
Control	identified or acted upon, including equality and inclusion Checking of relevant web sites, publications									

23	Non-compliant with disability discrimination act in relation to the public	Terry Garvey	1	1	1	3	1	2	8	LOW
Control	Requirements of DDA implemented where applicable, checking of relevant	web sites, publications	5		•					
			_							
24	Non-specialist Contractors go into liquidation (e.g. maintenance and capital works)	Terry Garvey	2	4	1	2	2	2	22	LOW
Control	Financial checks to be completed prior to engagement									
25	Size of coffin is too large in relation to size of the cremator	James Clark	2	1	1	3	2	2	18	LOW
Control	Funeral directors informed of max size of coffin in writing	barries blark	2	•		0	2	2	10	2011
26	Unexpected exceptional expenditure (e.g. utilities price increases, medical referees expenses	Andy Wannell	2	4	1	1	1	1	16	LOW
Control	High level of expertise advising the PCJC of any anticipated large future cos	ts, FBC manage ener	gy procurem	ent proces	s, monthly	/ moitoring a	rangements	s, revenue res	serve establi	shed
					1			1		т
27	Unsuitable contractors engaged (not best value, unable to deliver required services)	ALL	1	4	1	2	2	2	11	LOW
Control	Relevant checks and references to be compketed prior to engagement whe	re necessary taking a	ccount where	appropria	te of proce	urement advi	се			
			-			-	-			
28	Insufficient chapel space	James Clark	3	1	1	3	2	1	24	LOW
Control	Provision of foyer speaker and external relay speaker									
29	Appointed planned maintenance consultants unable to deliver agreed repairs and renewals programme and capital programme	Terry Garvey	2	4	1	3	3	3	28	LOW
Control	Contract management - performance management / feedback / review mee	*								